

SERVICE CALL INFORMATION Doc# 1

Part^T

Nature..... : DEPT INFO 09/30/2005 10:11  
Location.... : 828 CENTER AVENUE, SHEBOYGAN, WI  
  
Current Dispo: Unfounded Assigned Ofcr: 195 10/13/2005  
ISC..... : 9939 INTERNAL INVESTIGATION  
Units..... : 178  
  
Nature..... : FOLLOW UP 10/13/2005 14:22  
  
Units..... : 195

TEXT INFORMATION NARRATIVE.: 1

by 178 09/30/2005

During the mid-morning hours on 09-26-05, Unit #329 advised Chief Kirk that he was to report to a meeting in the Mayor's office and that he was the only one needed to attend that meeting.

Upon arrival. Chief Kirk advised that Mayor Perez directed him to his office and Mayoral Assistant Susan Hart was present. Mayor Perez discussed my memo directed to All Personnel on 08-31-05 reference a request from the Mayor which states "Mayor Perez requested that officers and dispatchers refrain from using the words that the Police Department is 'short-staffed' when responding to inquiries from the public. Your cooperation in this matter is appreciated. Respectfully submitted, Allen Sherven, Deputy Chief."

Chief Kirk advised that he was questioned by the Mayor and Susan whether or not this memo was a "gag order". Susan Hart then directed the conversation to the topic of Officer Eric Edson. She stated that he appeared to be angry and was even more angry since the time of the shooting. She was referring to case #03-0030-508 which occurred on 05-20-03 when an individual shot and struck Officer Edson in his arm. She stated that Eric was an angry man. Susan then made reference to the fact that when she first came into office, she had ridden with Deputy Chief John Kittelson from the Sheboygan Fire Department. She stated that during a conversation with Kittelson, she asked him if Eric Edson was an angry man or was it because I'm (meaning Susan) with the Mayor's office. Kittelson had replied that he was an angry man since the time of the shooting. Susan also alleged that Eric had refused to shake her hand when they were introduced. She advised that he had extended his hand to her and then he pulled his hand away.

Chief Kirk discussed the allegations with me. It should be noted that John Kittelson is a Deputy Chief from the Fire Department who specializes in personnel issues, which further highlights the seriousness of his observations. Kittelson is a 31 year veteran of the Sheboygan Fire Department whose credibility in addressing these issues is well established. We were concerned by Susan's allegations that Officer Edson was an angry man and was becoming even more angry since the time of the shooting. As a result of this statement by Mayoral Assistant Hart, Chief Kirk and Deputy Chief Sherven interviewed Officer Edson on 09-28-05 at approximately 1530 hours. Chief Kirk presented

TEXT INFORMATION

Part 8

the information provided earlier in this report to Officer Edson. He had a look of shock on his face and stated that he could not believe that Deputy Chief Kittelson would make such a statement about him. He advised that he has had only positive contacts with Deputy Chief Kittelson and stated that he could not recall being introduced to Hart and definitely did not offer a hand and pull it away. At the time of the incident, which is Friday, 06-24-05, Officer Edson was unsure if he even was aware of who Hart was and of her position. He advised that he has conversed with Deputy Chief Kittelson on numerous occasions and that all of his contacts have been positive. He stated that he knew Deputy Chief Kittelson's older son from high school and that he occasionally sees John at the fire station or police station and they discuss Eric's dog. He states that the incident that Susan is describing did not occur. He advised that officers do not normally shake hands with people on the street due to their police training. This is a true and accepted practice dating back to over 30 years ago when I started on the Department. This is an officer safety issue.

It should also be noted that the accusations of Eric exhibiting what can be described as symptoms of mental instability have never been noted in written documentation or ever given verbally to supervision or administration. His mental stability within the Department since this incident has never been in question and there was never evidence to support mental instability.

On 09-29-05, I phoned Deputy Chief Kittelson and arranged to have him come to Police Headquarters at 1330 hours. Chief Kirk was also present at the time that Deputy Chief Kittelson came to my office. We described the incident and the allegations given by Mayoral Assistant Susan Hart and Deputy Chief Kittelson looked completely shocked and commented "Do I have Alzheimer's Disease?". He stated that he has never had an encounter with Eric that was not positive. He advised that the conversation that Susan had with him involving Eric never took place. He further stated that he would not have a reason to have a conversation with her about Eric's behavior and that there had never been a negative incident with Officer Eric Edson. He stated that he was very upset that Susan was attributing negative statements about Eric to him. He went on to reconstruct his day and he stated that he believed the incident occurred on a Friday in the early summer. He recalled that Susan wished to ride with him when he was acting as Shift Commander and she arrived after completing her work and met him at approx. 1745 hours. He stated that he recalled a traffic accident on Erie Avenue and also a complaint at Brisco County. He remembered having conversations with her about Orange Cross, giving her a tour of dispatch, and discussing general matters regarding the job. He stated that he was completely baffled by these allegations and would check and obtain further details to determine the date that he had ridden with Susan Hart.

Shortly after speaking with John, Chief Kirk called Susan Hart and asked her to come to his office. Chief Kirk advised her that we were concerned about her statements regarding Officer Eric Edson being an angry man and becoming more angry since the time of the shooting. We

TEXT INFORMATION

discussed when the shooting had taken place and through Susan's recollection and ours, we realized that it was in the late Spring of 2003. It should be noted that the date of the shooting was 05-20-03. We informed her that we had conversed with Officer Eric Edson, who denied her allegations, and also conversed with John Kittelson. We informed her that John Kittelson stated that he never made the statement that Officer Edson is an angry man and that he was even angrier since the time of the shooting. She was also informed that John Kittelson did not believe that Eric was angry since the time of the shooting. Susan stated that she was with John Kittelson in the area of 17th Street and Erie Avenue where they handled an accident and also responded to the area of Brisco County for a medical emergency. She advised that in between the calls, they proceeded to the Police Department and while in what is known as the Captain's Office, she along with Kittelson had a seat and began speaking with a tall blond Lieutenant. It should be noted that we later learned that the supervisor she was speaking about was Captain Tetzlaff. Susan stated that she was introduced to Officer Edson by John Kittelson and that Officer Edson began to extend his hand and when he learned that she was from the Mayor's office, he pulled his hand away. Officer Edson and John Kittelson state that this never happened. Susan described Officer Edson's behavior as being brutally rude and asked if it was because she was associated with the Mayor. She also advised Kittelson that he seemed to be an angry man and Kittelson at that point responded that he was an angry man and had gotten angrier since the shooting. Susan advised that the following day she had informed the Mayor of Officer Edson's behavior. Susan's statements regarding Officer Edson's mental state and his behavior were not corroborated by Deputy Chief Kittelson or Officer Edson. These were serious allegations and I suspected that Susan was lying. It should be noted that from my part, the interview had evolved into an interrogation. She denied lying in this matter and stated that she had no motivation to discredit the Department or an officer. I reminded her of what I described was the tongue lashing that she had given Community Service Officer Jack Gebler and me on 06-21-05 when she stated that she was told that the Police Department would cause her trouble before she took this job. It should be noted that at the time of this incident, Officer Gebler had written a letter to the editor questioning her appointment.

Susan could provide no explanation why John Kittelson could not recall any of the significant events surrounding her allegations. She stated that she wished to put both Kittelson and her together to address the issue. In the meantime, Deputy Chief Kittelson contacted me and stated that he had checked his work records on 06-24-05 and learned that Susan had ridden with him between 1800 and 2200 hours. He stated that they had handled an accident where medical assistance was required at 17th Street and Erie Avenue, see case #03-0040-878 which occurred at 1920 hours. In between the time of this accident, he came to Police Headquarters and gave her a tour of the Communication Center, where she had a great deal of questions. As I was discussing this case with John Kittelson on a private line, Chief Kirk came to my office with Susan Hart. We then had a conference call and Susan informed John of the incident and reiterated the information discussed earlier in the

TEXT INFORMATION

Part~8

complaint. John maintained that he was baffled by her comments and that he never made the statement that Officer Edson is an angry man and has become angrier since the shooting. He also advised that he does not believe that information. He further advised that he did not ever see an introduction and hand shake being rebuffed on the part of Officer Edson. The details of the evening were discussed, but there was no agreement on the part of Deputy Chief Kittelson and Susan about the behavior of Eric Edson.

The conversation ended with Deputy Chief Kittelson at approx 1600 hours. Chief Kirk and Deputy Chief Sherven explained to Susan the seriousness of the statements that she made and that it was imperative that we investigate her statements and find the truth of the matter. It was significant for Eric Edson's well-being as a person and also the liability for the City. She discounted the fact that a person can be very angry and not have any mental problems. We pointed out to her the statement was that Eric Edson was angry and had become angrier since the shooting. This would imply a symptom of an emotional problem which would be lasting for over two years. Our conversation ended with Susan at approx. 1610 hours.

I then spoke with Captain Tetzlaff at approx. 1900 hours on 09-29-05. He stated that he remembered Kittelson and Hart coming to the Police Department and that Hart had sat directly in front of him, with Kittelson taking a seat on the side. He stated that he recalled Eric walking through the Captain's office to check the shift tray. This is a very normal procedure. It should be noted this was Officer Edson's long day, which would indicate that he would be coming into work at approx. 2010 hours. This would correspond with the time that both Hart and Kittelson were at the station. Hart alleges that Edson refused to shake her hand in the Captain's office. Captain Tetzlaff categorically denied that that incident occurred. He further stated that it would be very unusual if there were guests in the Captain's office for the patrol officers to be introduced because people are often seated in the Captain's office and patrol officers use this as a pathway to complete work. He stated that the allegation that Eric pulled his hand back simply did not occur.

At 1030 hours on 09-30-05, Chief Kirk, Deputy Chief Wojs and I had a previously-scheduled meeting with the Mayor regarding building the Police Department. Mayor Perez had questions of Chief Kirk regarding his opinion of comments by County Administrator Adam Payne regarding the 23rd Street site. Mayor Perez went on to relate that he also wished to talk to us regarding our conversation with Susan Hart and he requested that both of these conversations be recorded. It was noted that there was a recorder placed on his desk and Chief Kirk advised that he did not mind that the conversation was recorded, however he wished to have a complete copy of the recording.

The initial information that was discussed was about the 23rd Street site and a group that may offer money for its purchase. The next part of the conversation was about the allegations by Susan Hart. Chief Kirk went over the high points of the details provided in this report.

TEXT INFORMATION

Part 8

Mayor Perez advised that he had heard about Officer Edson's reaction to Susan after the ride-along. He also used words to the effect minimizing the significance of Eric being angry and tied to some type of emotional instability. I advised the Mayor that I had concerns about the City's liability and related mental health issues when statements such as this was made by personnel from his office. I further advised him that from the evidence presented in this case, I suspected that Susan was lying. The Mayor had an appointment with a local business man, Michael Liebham, and the discussion regarding this complaint had to be curtailed. He advised that it would be taken up at a later date.

There is no evidence to support either of Susan Hart's allegations. I recommend to Chief Kirk that both allegations be classified as Unfounded. Police Department policy defines Unfounded to be the investigation indicates that the alleged act(s) or omission(s) complained of did not occur or did not involve police personnel, DEPUTY CHIEF SHERVEN/pmk

NARRATIVE.: 2 by 195 10/13/2005

After reviewing this report, discussing this matter with Deputy Chief Wojs and Deputy Chief Sherven within our department as well as Chief Zeier of the Sheboygan Fire Department, I find that we are at a point in this investigation where it is believed that the matter can only be clarified or brought to resolution in the Mayor's office. Internally this report will be classified as unfounded.

On October 12, 2005, I was advised that Deputy Chief Kittelson spoke about his alleged involvement in this matter to Chief Zeier. I then spoke to Chief Zeier. The allegations made by Susan Hart that first, Deputy Chief Kittelson spoke to her about Officer Eric Edson and in that conversation apparently he spoke of his concerns as to Eric being an angry man and getting angrier since the time of the shooting. Next, there have been allegations that Eric Edson, once introduced by either Deputy Chief Kittelson or a supervisor in the Captains' office that day (namely Captain Tetzlaff), that Eric Edson extended his hand in a handshake type of motion and once it was learned that she (Susan Hart) was identified as being from the Mayor's office, he took his hand back from an extended position, turned around and walked out of the office. If such issue or incident existed, certainly this would be a lack of respect shown towards a high-ranking city official by one of my officers. However, Deputy Chief Kittelson stated it never happened. Further, Captain Tetzlaff as well as Officer Eric Edson stated it never happened.

The resolution in this matter as far as the Sheboygan Police Department goes is that the matter has been investigated as far as it can unless we begin to further interrogate or polygraph those involved. Officer Edson has offered to be polygraphed. What we have is Susan Hart stating two different incidents occurred and on the other side of this issue, we have Deputy Chief Kittelson, Captain Tetzlaff as well as Eric Edson stating it never did happen. This whole issue seems to be centered

TEXT INFORMATION

Part 8

around, once again, an alleged lack of respect shown by one of my officers. Secondly, this concern was addressed to me by Susan Hart, a high-ranking city official within the Mayor's office, months after these alleged statements were made to her.

As I look back, I should begin that on Monday, 9-26-05, Paula was filling in for my secretary when I was called up to the Mayor's office for a meeting. As Deputy Chief Sherven and I were discussing various issues that morning, we then proceeded to go up to the Mayor's office and Paula then stated that the Mayor indicated that the meeting was for me alone and I was not to bring any deputy chiefs with me.

As I arrived, Susan Hart was then called into the office and the Mayor then provided me a copy of Deputy Chief Sherven's August 31, 2005, memo to all personnel within the Police Department. This memo was that Mayor Perez requested that officers and dispatchers refrain from using the words that the Police Department is short staffed when responding to inquiries from the public. The Mayor stated that he is upset and that he has now heard that ex-alderperson Mike Warner and Gina Steinhardt have taken this memo to the state level and they have identified this as a gag order. Apparently, this memo has been referred to the state by these two people for the attention of the governor or some other committee. Mayor Perez had indicated to me that this certainly is not a gag order. I informed the Mayor that these are the words he had used and what he had requested of us. I then asked him for a definition of a gag order. The Mayor responded that a gag order is an order given by a judge not to do or say something. He then stated that if it is said or done, then discipline is in order or you could find yourself in contempt of court. I then stated to the Mayor that if that is his definition, certainly he is not a judge and this would then not be a gag order. I then asked Susan Hart for her definition and she responded that a gag order would be any order given to an employee and if they violate that order, then discipline can be given. My immediate response to Susan was that certainly if the Sheboygan Police Department's employees violate this rule, that they could be found in violation of this order and could be disciplined. Susan responded that the Mayor did not want employees disciplined for saying that the Police Department is short staffed. As I spoke to Susan and Mayor Perez, I then stated that this is exactly what the Mayor had told Deputy Chiefs Wojs, Sherven, and me and that Deputy Chief Sherven did put it in a memo form. Definition of a gag order is certainly one to be decided later; however, the Sheboygan Police Department is a para-military organization with rules, policies, memos, and orders, and if people are in violation of any of those, they could be disciplined. I did agree with Susan that if my employees simply stated, when responding to a complainant, that we are short staffed, that that would be rude. Perhaps our employees should advise the citizen who is calling that for an assortment of reasons we cannot get to a call at a certain time because we are responding to other complaints and/or advise what the situation is. I did advise Susan Hart, however, that we can and we will discipline employees for being rude. If they are told, for example, in this case, not to use a particular phrase, that they should provide a better or different

TEXT INFORMATION

Part

8

explanation to citizens when they call than "we are just short-staffed." Susan stated that she wanted to make sure that we do not discipline our employees based on what the Mayor says because the Mayor is not their boss and he has no power over them. I then agreed that I am in charge of the Police Department but the Police Department is a para-military organization .based on policies, rules, regulations, memos, directives, etc., and that any repeated violation or a serious violation of any of these could and will result in discipline.

We then got back on to the memo and the Mayor indicated that he is upset that this memo got out to Nick Reed at a local radio station. The Mayor stated this is not a gag order and I responded that "You can call it what you want. It is accurate that you did tell us that and Deputy Chief Sherven put it out in a memo form. " The Mayor then stated that Mike Warner and Gina have sent this to Madison and he has already been informed by Assemblyman Terry Van Akkeren and Senator Joe Leibham that they do not support this and neither want anything to do with it. I then assured him and Susan that this is the first time I have heard that this memo was released to Madison and that it was sent to the Governor's office and/or some other committee.

I then stated that as far as memos, copies are made to all employees and they are put on bulletins so that people are provided copies. It is only with providing such copies that the employees would know what the rules are and what they could be disciplined for if they continue to violate such rules. The Mayor indicated that Nick Reed got a copy of this August 31st memo and stated that he believes it was released by the Police Department. I assured the Mayor that my office or my administration never released this memo outside of our department and that we have approximately 130 employees and each employee gets copies of these memos. These memos could have been released by anyone. The Mayor then stated that Gina has informed others that she got her copy of this memo from someone within the Police Department.

Susan then changed from this topic to indicating that both the two Deputy Chiefs and I work very well with the Mayor. The problems that she has been seeing or sees with City Police Department employees are that the employees have been speaking about and against the Mayor and that they must come and speak to the Mayor directly about the problems they have. Susan stated that she feels it is wrong that they went to WHBL to air differences on the radio. This is when Susan then stated that Officer Eric Edson is an angry man and has gotten angrier since the shooting. I immediately responded in defense of Eric, stated that he is not angry, and we have been watching him since our officers were involved in a shooting several years ago and that none of them have gotten angrier and certainly Eric has not gotten angrier since that time. I indicated that Eric has become more vocal as President of the SPPA and perhaps this is what she is mistaking as anger. I then responded to Susan that there is no merit in her statement and why she would say something like that about Eric Edson. Susan then responded that Deputy Chief Kittelson also believes that Eric is angry and has gotten angrier. At that point I advised Susan and the Mayor that I was surprised to hear John Kittelson also believes this and, in fact, she

**TEXT INFORMATION**

**Part 8**

was told this by John the night that she rode along with him and responded to Fire Department calls.

Susan then related that that night when she was riding with Deputy Chief Kittelson, that they came into the dispatch center, he showed her around the dispatch center and they then came into the supervisors office or Captains office. There she believed was a Lieutenant and it was later learned to be Captain Tetzlaff. She was sitting in that office with Deputy Chief Kittelson and Captain Tetzlaff. As she was sitting there, Officer Edson walked in to the office and he was then introduced to Susan Hart. He extended his hand to shake her hand and when she was identified as being with the Mayor's office, he took his hand back from an extended position, turned around and walked out of the office. Susan said this was extremely rude. Susan stated that she does not recall being treated so rudely and she stated that in a later conversation, she asked John Kittelson if he was just an angry man or is he upset at her because she is with the Mayor's office. It was at that time that John Kittelson apparently responded that he has noticed also that Eric is an angry man and, in fact, has gotten angrier since the time of the shooting.

Our meeting then ended and as I came back to my office, I became very concerned about these statements alleging rudeness and certainly that Officer Eric Edson is an angry man. Also, there were allegations that he has gotten angrier since the time of the shooting; therefore, someone must be evaluating him from sometime prior to that shooting to after the date of the shooting and then has noticed some changes in Eric Edson.

Because of my concern, I then spoke to Deputy Chief Sherven on these statements and that I had concerns as to why the Mayor did not invite my Deputy Chief to this meeting if, in fact, it dealt with one of his (Deputy Chief Sherven's) officers. We then determined that these issues must be looked into. Certainly, if we have a Deputy Chief of the Fire Department who deals with personnel matters, speaks out and is concerned of one of my officers, that his opinions need to be taken seriously and need to be looked into.

On 9-28-05 Deputy Chief Sherven and I spoke to Officer Edson. Officer Edson was advised of this investigation and certainly of our concern as to statements about his anger. Also, apparently that a comparison has been made before and after the shooting and that he has become angrier since the shooting. As we began to talk to Officer Eric Edson, he became extremely surprised and somewhat upset that such statements were made about him. Eric Edson indicated he has never met Susan Hart until after she had obtained her job and we then asked him about his hand being extended to her when she was introduced in the Captains' office. Officer Edson stated that he was never introduced to Susan Hart on that day and that he had walked into the Captains' office to begin his roll call and when he saw personnel in that office other than police personnel, he then immediately turned around and walked out. Officer Edson was further questioned as to this introduction and again assured that this introduction never took place, that he never extended his

TEXT INFORMATION

Part 8

hand out to Susan Hart, and never meant to be rude but when he walked into that room, he knew there were people in the Captains' office, he turned around and walked out.

As we spoke to Officer Eric Edson, we mentioned that we have been watching him from a distance and I told him that we are very cautious on the effects that high stressful situations can have on our employees. We monitor our employees to make sure to notice a change. We assured Officer Edson that we have not noticed a change in his personality other than him being more vocal from a union perspective. As we talked about that position, we do agree with Eric that as President of the SPPA, he has become more vocal and certainly that is because his membership has asked him to be. Eric further related that he is concerned about the statement allegedly made by Deputy Chief Kittelson, that he knows Deputy Chief Kittelson and his son, and certainly has never had any problem with either of them.

On 9-29-05, we then spoke to Deputy Chief Kittelson and as we advised Deputy Chief Kittelson of the statements made by Susan Hart and that they were attributed back to him. Deputy Chief Kittelson became extremely puzzled, upset, and stated that he has never made such a statement. Deputy Chief Kittelson then began to give details as to the night that Susan Hart did ride along with him and provided a very detailed accounting of that night. John Kittelson related that he never relayed that statement to Susan Hart and has never had any concerns with Officer Eric Edson. John Kittelson does not see Eric as an angry person and does not see Officer Edson becoming more angry since the time of the shooting. John Kittelson has no idea where these statements came from and stated he never said that to Susan Hart. We then asked John about the introduction by either Captain Tetzlaff and him when Eric Edson entered the Captains' office and John stated he did not remember that. John stated he never introduced Officer Edson and, in fact, does not recall Captain Tetzlaff introducing Officer Edson to Susan Hart. John was then advised that apparently during this introduction, Eric Edson extended his hand to shake Susan's hand and upon hearing that she was from the Mayor's office, he pulled his hand back and then turned around and walked out. Again, John shook his head and stated he never recalled that incident taking place and, certainly, has never said what Susan alleged that he has said about Officer Edson.

Deputy Chief Sherven and I then had further interviews with Susan and Eric and the issue still remains the same. Susan Hart maintains that she was treated rudely, in fact, brutally rude by Officer Edson when he extended his hand, pulled it away, and refused to shake her hand where he was introduced to her. Captain Tetzlaff, Officer Edson as well as Deputy Chief Kittelson state that that introduction and that hand-shaking incident never occurred. Yet Susan Hart maintains it did. Susan Hart then maintained that Deputy Chief Kittelson spoke about, and she bases her statements on why Eric is an angry man and has gotten angrier since the shooting, on Deputy Chief Kittelson telling her this. Deputy Chief Kittelson maintains that was never said, that he does not feel that about Officer Edson, and does not know where such a statement would have come from.

TEXT INFORMATION

Part 8

This entire investigation centers around two incidents. Both incidents, those people involved in these incidents other than Susan Hart, deny they ever took place.

It must be noted that Deputy Chief Sherven, Deputy Chief Wojs, and I do not have a concern over the emotional stability or instability of Officer Edson. We have watched him since that shooting. He has been commended by several different organizations for his part as well as other officers part in that shooting and we have not seen Eric as an angry man and he has certainly not gotten angrier. Eric Edson has become more vocal on issues that relate to the union and this is very understandable since he is the President of the SPPA.

This matter will be closed by our department as unfounded and will be referred to the Mayor's office because I believe we have a huge concern here. We have the Mayor's Administrative Officer making statements and when these statements were checked into, both high ranking officials from the Police and Fire Departments maintain they were never said nor did they take place. Further, the involved patrol officer maintains they never took place. It must be further stated that this is the second time allegations were made against Officer Edson. The first was made by a woman, Charlene Dicke, who accused Eric of being rude by staring at her at a high-school football game. This complaint made it to the Common Council floor when, in fact, such a complaint should have been provided to the department to do an internal investigation. I believe these allegations made by Susan Hart are wrong.

The question remains why did Susan Hart make the statements she had about Eric Edson and why, upon checking into this matter, do my employees and a high-ranking Fire Department official maintain these incidents never occurred. What must further be stated is this department has always taken a strong stand on integrity, honesty and truthfulness. This department maintains a serious stance on honesty and this has been addressed with Mayor Perez. Now with these allegations filed by the Mayoral Administrative Officer, I question why Alderperson Radtke also filed an earlier complaint against our employees that turned out to be false. Yet, upon investigation, he apologized for these mis-statements involving three separate employees. Our employees are aware of our stand on these qualities and I have no reason to doubt Captain Tetzlaff, Deputy Chief Kittelson nor Eric Edson when this investigation began or ended. This investigation internally within the Police Department is ended and the status will be classified as unfounded. However, the matter will be referred to the Mayor's office so that he can address this issue to what he believes should be its proper resolution. CHIEF KIRK/blg

10/20/05: Copy to Officer Eric Edson. L. Rooker

11/16/05: Copy requested by Alderman Bonnie Cerda. Letter was provided to Officer Edson advising him that the request was made and that it was determined that we must release the copy under Wisconsin's public records law. The letter spelled out Officer Edson's options if

TEXT INFORMATION

Part~8

he chose to seek a court order restraining this office from providing access to the requested record. I spoke with Officer Edson on the telephone this date and he advised that he would not be contesting the release of this report to Alderman Cerda. Therefore, the 12 day waiting period was waived and the copy was made available to Alderman Cerda on 11/17/05. L. Rooker

